

**REMARKS:**

There was a confusion as to the use of the terms "open" and "close" in the specification. In the above amendment, Applicant has corrected the terms in the specification by replacing the terms with the terms "turn on" and "turn off, respectively.

In response to the claim objections in the Office Action, Applicant uses the term "turn on," which means establishing a connection, and the term "turn off," which means breaking a connection, as these terms usually mean to those skilled in the art.

Applicant has cancelled claim 3. Therefore, it is believed that all the rejections made to claim 3 are now cured.

In the Office Action, claims 1 and 2 are rejected as being anticipated by Bohm et al. Applicant respectfully submits that there is nothing in Bohem et al. that discloses or teaches the present invention. For instance, Bohem et al. are silent about the charge transfer circuit recited in claims 1 and 2, which is turned on twice during each cycle of operation and the reset circuit, which is turned on when the charge transfer circuit is turned on for the second time during each operation cycle.

Also, neither Krause nor Kawahara discloses or teaches the charge transfer circuit or the reset circuit.

Respectfully submitted,



Tadashi Horie  
Registration No. 40,437  
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610  
(312) 321-4200